

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 01/25/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/042,231	01/11/2002	Masaki Nakano	03500.016103	4817
5514	7590 01/25/2005		EXAM	INER
	CK CELLA HARPER	BLACKMAN, ANTHONY J		
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2676	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/042,231	NAKANO, MASAKI
Examiner	Art Unit
ANTHONY J BLACKMAN	2676

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 08 January 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued

Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	,,
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or	b)]
 a)	ling date of the final rejection. HS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding am 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply origin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under nally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed wit 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dis	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
(a) \boxtimes they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or	search (see NOTE below);
(b)	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal issues for appeal; and/or	I by materially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding nur	mber of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ed in a separate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has be application in condition for allowance because:	een considered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed straised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	SOLELY to issues which were newly
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be enterest explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed:	
Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-14</u> .	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved or b)	oved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper	^ No(s)
10. Other:	200 1
Mulmuy	Marker C. Bella
a way property	MATTHEW C. BELLA

PERVISURY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600 Part of Paper No. 20050110



Continuation of 2. NOTE: 2(a) -newly amended claim 1 requires further consideration and 2(b)claim 18 discloses new matter (although in the specification), presents claim language different from original presentation.